

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)

2 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

3 Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)

melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com

4 Lindsay Cooper (Bar No. 287125)

lindsaycooper@quinnemanuel.com

5 50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor

San Francisco, California 94111-4788

6 Telephone: (415) 875-6600

7 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

8 Attorneys for GOOGLE LLC

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 GOOGLE LLC,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 SONOS, INC.,

16 Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:20-cv-06754-WHA

Related to CASE NO. 3:21-cv-07559-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
GOOGLE'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER  
ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL  
SHOULD BE SEALED**

1 Plaintiff Google LLC (“Google”) has filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether  
 2 Another Party’s Material Should Be Sealed (“Google’s Administrative Motion”).

3 Having considered Google’s Administrative Motion, and compelling reasons to seal having  
 4 been shown, the Court **GRANTS** Google’s Administrative Motion and **ORDERS** sealed the  
 5 documents listed below:

6 Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
7 Google’s Opposition to Sonos, Inc.’s 8 Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to 9 the Court’s Patent Showdown Procedure 10 (“Opposition”)	Portions highlighted in blue and green; portions outlined in blue boxes	Google (in green) & Sonos
11 Exhibit 3 to Declaration of Marc Kaplan in 12 Support of Google’s Opposition (“Kaplan Decl.”)	Entire Document	Sonos
13 Exhibit 4 to Kaplan Decl.	Entire Document	Google & Sonos
14 Exhibit 7 to Kaplan Decl.	Entire Document	Sonos

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16  
17  
18 DATED:

19 \_\_\_\_\_  
 20 The Honorable William Alsup  
 21 United States District Court Judge  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28